
NEW JERSEY DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION TRENTON F/9 13/13 NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. GREEN TURTLE POND DAM (NJ00190), P--ETC(U) FEB 80 JP TALERICA AD-A087 328 UNCLASSIFIED ABA UB132-END DATE FILMED 9 80 DTIC



ADA 087328

PASSAIC RIVER BASIN
BRANCH OF WANAQUE RIVER
PASSAIC COUNTY
NEW JERSEY



GREEN TURTLE POND DAM DT NJ 00190 SELE

PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

PROY

TLIC RELEASE;



PROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;

THE STATE OF THE CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT HOW DER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT !

PILE COPY

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Philadelphia District Corps of Engineers Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

FEBRUARY 1980

80 7 25 131

NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION F	AGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
I. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NJ00190 AL	-AU87328	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Phase I Inspection Report		
National Dam Safety Program- Green Turtle Pond Dam (NJ00190)		FINAL PENT.
Peggaio County, M. C. C. C.	2010	115
John John Hy New Je	assias C sea. Phase :	DACW61-79-C-ØØ11
1 Tuenetton Ru	5-7+,	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	- Andrewsky States of the second section of	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Frederic R. Harris, Inc.		
453 Amboy Ave.	- les	
Woodbridge, N.J. 0709	Plalor ca	
11 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		M. GEPORT SETE
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS NJ Department of Environmental Prot	ection / /	Feb. 380
Division of Water Resources	√ (13. MONTHER OF PAGES
P.O. Box CN029 Trenton, NJ 08625		66
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
U.S. Army Engineer District, Philad	lelphia	}
Custom House, 2d & Chestnut Streets	L	Unclassified
Philadelphia, PA 19106	,	15a, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
(1212)	7	SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered	in Block 20, if different fro	om Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
Copies are obtainable from National Springfield, Virginia 22151.	l Technical Info	rmation Service,
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	d identify by block number Green Turt1	e Pond Dam, NJ
Dams	Seepage	
Dam Saf e ty	Piezometers	
Structural Analysis	Sediments	
Visual inspection		m Safety Program
•		
This report cites results of a tech The inspection and evaluation of the	nnical investiga	tion as to the dam's adequac
Inspection and evaluation of the Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. inspection, review of available destructural and hydraulic and hydroid assessment of the dam's general contact.	The technical sign and constrution to the construction of the con	investigation includes visua ction records, and prelimina ns, as applicable. An
		*

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 7 HOV 65 IS OSSOLETE

TION OF THIS PAG			
	,		



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS CUSTOM HOUSE—2 D & CHESTNUT STREETS PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

IN REPLY REFER TO

NAPEN-N

82 JUL 1980


Honorable Brendan T. Byrne Governor of New Jersey Trenton, New Jersey 08621

Dear Governor Byrne:

Inclosed is the Phase I Inspection Report for Green Turtle Pond Dam in Passaic County, New Jersey which has been prepared under authorization of the Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. A brief assessment of the dam's condition is given in the front of the report.

Based on visual inspection, available records, calculations and past operational performance, Green Turtle Pond Dam, a high hazard potential structure, is judged to be in good overall condition and the spillway is considered adequate. To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following remedial actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

- a. The owner should develop an emergency action plan (if one is not already available) outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency and establish a flood warning system for the downstream communities within three months from the date of approval of the report.
- b. The following remedial actions should be initiated within twelve months from the date of approval of this report:
- (1) Observation wells or piezometers should be installed in the embankment to determine the location of the phreatic surface and the paths of the seepage observed.
- (2) The flow of secpage should be monitored monthly to determine its volume and whether it presents a problem to the safety of the dam.
- (3) Repair all cracked and spalled concrete in spillway and grouted riprap of downstream channel.
- (4) All brush and trees should be removed from the downstream and upstream slopes. The embankment face should then be seeded to develop a growth of grass for surface erosion protection.



`NAPEN-N Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

- (5) Remove all vegetation and debris from the approach and discharge channels.
- (6) Remove sediment from the low-level outlet pipe and the outlet stilling basin.
- (7) Determine the exact location of the control for the low-level outlet and upgrade it so that the valve can be operated from the embankment crest. The controls should be operated at least once every six months.
- (8) Investigate the embankment for animal burrows and fill in any burrow holes with impervious material.
- c. Within twenty-four months from the date of approval of this report, the owner should consider providing additional low-level outlet facilities to decrease drawdown time.
- d. Within one year from the date of approval of this report, the owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam.

A copy of the report is being furnished to Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, the designated State Office contact for this program. Within five days of the date of this letter, a copy will also be sent to Congressman Roe of the Eighth District. Under the provision of the Freedom of Information Act, the inspection report will be subject to release by this office, upon request, five days after the date of this letter.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Services (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161 at a reasonable cost. Please allow four to six weeks from the date of this letter for NTIS to have copies of the report available.

Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRA&I	
DDC T	A.B	
Unannounced 🗍		
Justification		
Ву		
Distribution/		
Evailability Codes		
	Avail and	l/or
Dist	special	L
		- 4
		1
$ \mathcal{H} $		İ

2

NAPEN-N Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

An important aspect of the Dam Inspection Program will be the implementation of the recommendations made as a result of the inspection. We accordingly request that we be advised of proposed actions taken by the State to implement our recommendations.

Sincerely,

JAMES G. TON

Colonel, Corps of Engineers

District Engineer

l Incl As stated

Copies furnished: Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, P.E., Deputy Director Division of Water Resources N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection P.O. Box CN029 Trenton, NJ 08625

Mr. John O'Dowd, Acting Chief Bureau of Flood Plain Regulation Division of Water Resources N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection P.O. Box CN029 Trenton, NJ 08625

GREEN TURTLE POND DAM (NJ00190)

CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

This dam was inspected on 16 November 1979 by Harris - ECI Associates, Inc., under contract to the State of New Jersey. The State, under agreement with the U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia, had this inspection performed in accordance with the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367.

Green Turtle Pond Dam, a high hazard potential structure, is judged to be in good overall condition and the spillway is considered adequate. To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following remedial actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

- a. The owner should develop an emergency action plan (if one is not already available) outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency and establish a flood warning system for the downstream communities within three months from the date of approval of the report.
- b. The following remedial actions should be initiated within twelve months from the date of approval of this report:
- (1) Observation wells or piezometers should be installed in the embankment to determine the location of the phreatic surface and the paths of the seepage observed.
- (2) The flow of seepage should be monitored monthly to determine its volume and whether it presents a problem to the safety of the dam.
- (3) Repair all cracked and spalled concrete in spillway and grouted riprap of downstream channel.
- (4) All brush and trees should be removed from the downstream and upstream slopes. The embankment face should then be seeded to develop a growth of grass for surface erosion protection.
- (5) Remove all vegetation and debris from the approach and discharge channels.
- (6) Remove sediment from the low-level outlet pipe and the outlet stilling basin.
- (7) Determine the exact location of the control for the low-level outlet and upgrade it so that the valve can be operated from the embankment crest. The controls should be operated at least once every six months.
- (8) Investigate the embankment for animal burrows and fill in any burrow holes with impervious material.
- c. Within twenty-four months from the date of approval of this report, the owner should consider providing additional low-level outlet facilities to decrease drawdown time.

d. Within one year from the date of approval of this report, the owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam.

APPROVED: JAMES G. TON

Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Engineer

DATE: 19.TW1980

2

PASSAIC RIVER BASIN BRANCH OF WANAQUE RIVER, PASSAIC COUNTY NEW JERSEY

GREEN TURTLE POND DAM NJ00190

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS

PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:

Green Turtle Pond. I.D. NJ 00190

State Located: County Located: New Jersey Passaic County

Stream:

Branch of Wanaque River

River Basin:

Passaic River Date of Inspection: November 16, 1979

Assessment of General Conditions

Green Turtle Pond Dam is an earthfill dam containing a broad crested concrete weir spillway at the right end of the dam. The overall condition of the dam is good. There is no major sign of distress or instability in the embankment. There is a vertical crack in the left abutment. The downstream channel is well defined. The operation of the low-level outlet was not demonstrated since the control for the gate valve could not be located and the owner's representative was not present during the inspection. The hazard potential is rated as "high".

The spillway capacity of Green Turtle Pond Dam is considered adequate in view of the ability of the spillway to pass the SDF without overtopping the dam.

At present, the engineering data available is not sufficient to make a definitive statement on the stability of the dam, but based on the findings of the visual inspection, the preliminary assessment of static stability is that it is satisfactory. The following actions are recommended along with a timetable for their completion. All recommended actions should be conducted under the supervision of an Engineer who is experienced in the design, construction and inspection of dams.

- 1. Observation wells or piezometers should be installed in the embankment to determine the location of the phreatic surface and the paths of the seepage observed. This should be done within twelve months.
- The flow of seepage should be monitored monthly to determine its volume and whether it presents a problem to the safety of the dam.
- Repair all cracked and spalled concrete within twelve months.

- 4. All brush and trees should be removed from the downstream and upstream slopes to avoid problems which may develop from roots. The embankment face should then be seeded to develop a growth of grass for surface erosion protection. This program should be started within twelve months.
- 5. Remove all vegetation and debris from the approach and discharge channels within twelve months.
- 6. Remove sediment from low-level outlet pipe and outlet stilling basin within twelve months.
- 7. Determine exact location of control for low-level outlet and upgrade it so that the valve can be operated from the embankment crest within twelve months. The controls should be operated at least once every six months.
- 8. Investigate embankment for animal burrows and fill in any burrow holes with impervious material.
- 9. The owner should develop an emergency action plan (if one is not already available) outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency and establish a flood warning system for the downstream communities within three months.

Furthermore, while of a less urgent nature, the following additional action is recommended and should be carried out within twenty-four months.

- 1. Consider providing additional low-level outlet facilities to decrease drawdown time.
- 2. The owner should develop within one (1) year after formal approval of the report, written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to insure the safety of the dam.

John P. Talerico, P.E. Harris-ECI Associates



Photo taken on January 21, 1980

GREEN TURTLE POND DAM

View looking toward left abutment wall of spillway and embankment beyond wall.

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the office of the Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

OVERVIEW PHOTO

PREFACE		<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1	PROJECT INFORMATION	1
	1.1 General	1 1 4
SECTION 2	ENGINEERING DATA	6
	2.1 Design	6 6 6
SECTION 3	VISUAL INSPECTION	7
	3.1 Findings	7
SECTION 4	OPERATION PROCEDURES	9
	4.1 Procedures	9 9 9
SECTION 5	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	10
	5.1 Evaluation of Features	10
SECTION 6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	12
	6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	12
SECTION 7	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	14
	7.1 Dam Assessment	14 14

TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED

<u>PLATES</u>	No.
KEY MAP & VICINITY MAP	1 & 1A
GEOLOGIC MAP	2
DRAWINGS OF DAM	3-4
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A - CHECK LIST - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS CHECK LIST - ENGINEERING, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE DATA	1-14
APPENDIX B - PHOTOGRAPHS	
APPENDIX C - SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA	1
APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS	1-13

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

GREEN TURTLE POND DAM, I.D. NJ 00190

SECTION 1

1. PROJECT INFORMATION ·

1.1 General

a. Authority

The National Dam Inspection Act (Public Law 92-367, 1972) provides for the National Inventory and Inspection Program by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This inspection was made in accordance with this authority under Contract C-FPM No. 35 with the State of New Jersey who, in turn, is contracted to the Philadelphia District of the Corps of Engineers, and was carried out by the engineering firm of Harris-ECI Associates, Woodbridge, New Jersey.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The visual inspection of Green Turtle Pond Dam was made on November 16, 1979. The purpose of the inspection was to make a general assessment as to the structural integrity and operational adequacy of the dam embankment and its appurtenant structures.

c. Scope of Report

The report summarizes available pertinent data relating to the project; presents a summary of visual observations made during the field inspection; presents an evaluation of hydrologic and hydraulic conditions at the site; presents an evaluation as to the stuctural adequacy of the various project features; and assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety.

1.2 <u>Description of Project</u>

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

Green Turtle Pond Dam is an earthfill dam approximately 305-ft. long and 35-ft. high with a compacted clay fill core wall. There is a 30-ft. wide broad crested concrete weir spillway at the right end of the dam. The crest of the spillway is 4.0 ft. below the top of the dam. The embankment crest has a

width of 20 feet with an upstream slope of 5H:IV and a downstream slope of 4H:IV. Riprap protection has been placed on the upstream face of the embankment.

The low-level outlet consists of a 18-inch cast iron pipe through the dam approximately 400 feet left of the spillway. The flow through the pipe is controlled by a manually operated gate valve located in the upstream side of the embankment. The inlet end of the pipe is located at the upstream toe of the slope. The outlet discharges into a stilling pool at the end of the spillway channel. From the pool the flow continues in a southerly direction approximately 750 feet to a 6-foot diameter R.C.P. passing under Awosting Road.

There are no records of any borings and/or test pits taken for this dam.

A generalized description of soil conditions is contained in Report No. 3, Passaic County, Engineering Soil Survey of New Jersey, by Rutgers University. The report describes the dam site as Gneiss bedrock, erratically covered with a variable but thin, mantle of unconsolidated glacial materials. The bedrock is further described by Geologic Overlay Sheet 23 as Hornblende Granite and Gneiss.

b. Location

Green Turtle Pond Dam is located on a branch of the Wanaque River in the Township of West Milford, Passaic County, New Jersey. It is accessible by way of Awosting Road.

c. Size Classification

According to the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" by the U.S. Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, the dam is classified in the dam size category as being "small", since its storage volume of 368 acre-feet is less than 1,000 acre-feet. The dam is also classified as small because its height of 35 feet is less than 40 feet. The overall size classification of Green Turtle Pond Dam is small.

d. Hazard Classification

A hazard potential classification of "high" has been assigned to the dam on the basis that a hypothetical failure would result in excessive damage to road immediately downstream of the dam, because the road is heavily traveled and there are several habitable buildings immediately downstream. The possibility exists of the loss of more than a few lives in the event of dam failure.

e. Ownership

Green Turtle Pond Dam is owned by:

State of N.J. Green Acres Department of Conservation Trenton, NJ 08625

Attention: Mr. Curt Eubert

Director, Green Acres

f. Purpose

Green Turtle Pond Dam is presently used for recreational purposes only.

g. Design and Construction History

A permit to construct Green Turtle Pond Dam was issued in 1957 and construction was completed in 1958. A summary of the construction history, from initial application to final acceptance of the dam, is available on microfilm at the N.J. Department of Environmental Protection.

h. Normal Operating Procedures

The discharge from the lake is unregulated and is allowed to naturally balance the inflow into the lake. The low-level outlet is used to lower the lake level by a manually operated gate valve which could not be located at the time of the inspection. Also, the owner's representative was not present to operate the valve at the time of the inspection.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. <u>Drainage Area</u>

0.5 sq. mi.

b. Discharge at Dam Site

Ungated spillway capacity at elevation of top of dam:

744 cfs (elev. 580, NGVD)

Total spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation (SDF):

679 cfs (elev. 579.76 NGVD)

c. Elevation (Feet above NGVD)

Top of dam:

580

Maximum pool design surcharge (SDF):

579.76

Recreation pool:

576.3

Spillway crest:

576.0

Streambed at centerline of dam:

545 (estimated)

Maximum tailwater:

546.5 (estimated)

d. Reservoir

Length of maximum pool:

2,500 ft. (estimated)

Length of recreation pool:

2,400 ft. (estimated)

e. Storage (acre-feet)

Spillway crest:

. 251

Top of dam:

386

Maximum pool (SDF):

368

f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

Top of dam:

39

Maximum pool (SDF)

33 (estimated)

Recreation pool:

N/A

Spillway crest:

28 (estimated)

g. Dam

Type:

Earth fill with broad crest weir

Length:

305 ft. (effective)

Height:

35 ft.

Top width:

20 ft.

Side slopes - Upstream:

5H: 1V

- Downstream:

4H:1V

Zoning:

Unknown

Impervious core:

Clay core, 580-ft. long

Cutoff:

None

Grout curtain:

None

h. <u>Diversion and Regulating Tunnel</u>

N/A.

i. Spillway

Type:

Broad crest weir with notch at center

Length of weir:

30 ft.

Crest elevation:

576

Gates:

None

U/S Channel:

Green Turtle Pond

D/S Channel:

Side channel discharge to

Natural channel

j. Regulating Outlets

Low level outlet:

18-inch C.I.P.

Controls:

Manually operated outlet gate

Emergency gate:

None

Outlet:

546 (NVGD) estimated

SECTION 2

2. ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

Drawings for the original construction of Green Turtle Pond Dam in 1957 are on microfilm and are available at the Trenton offices of the N.J. Department of Environmental Protection (NJ-DEP). In addition, a gradation analysis of the soil used to build the dam are on file at the NJ-DEP. Also, recommendations for soil borings and/or test pits are available, but there is no record of the logs.

2.2 Construction

Data is not available concerning the as-built construction of the dam. Some data exists on the proposed construction methods, borrow sources, and other data pertinent to the construction of the dam at the NJ-DEP.

2.3 Operation

Formal operation records are not kept for the dam and reservoir. The lake is allowed to operate naturally without regulation.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability

The availability of engineering data is good. The stated drawings, computations and some correspondence concerning the construction are available from the NJ-DEP.

b. Adequacy

The engineering data available, together with that obtained in the field, were adequate to perform hydrologic and hydraulic computations. The data was insufficient to perform a stability analysis, but preliminary evaluation could be made based on visual observations.

c. Validity

Information contained in the drawings and checked by limited field measurments appears to be valid.

SECTION 3

3. VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. General

The visual inspection of Green Turtle Pond Dam revealed the dam and spillway to be in good condition, but in need of minor repairs and maintenance. The lake level was above the crest of the spillway at the time of the inspection.

b. Dam

The earth embankment appears to be sound. No surface cracking on the embankment or at the toe was noted. No erosion of the embankment was observed. No misalignment of the embankment in the horizontal or vertical plane was evident. Numerous trees, small to large size are growing on both embankment sides. A few trees were uprooted leaving small cavities on the downstream slope. Minor seepage was occurring at two locations. One seepage location was 5 feet right of the low-level outlet drain and the other was approximately 100 feet downstream of the spillway, 5 feet left of the channel. No evidence of burrowing by animals was discovered; however, at the time of the inspection, the embankment was covered with leaves, therefore the possibility does exist that there may be burrow holes in the embankment.

c. Appurtenant Structures

1. Spillways

A concrete spillway exists on the right side of the embankment. The spillway is in good condition and is notched (3'-6" wide x 3" high) at the center. No seepage or leakage was noticed at the spillway. Minor spalling and two very small surface cracks were observed at the right abutment of the spillway. A vertical crack extends from the top of the left abutment to the apron at the spillway. Horizontal and vertical alignment of the crest are good. A long concrete apron serves as the approach channel. Fallen trees, vegetation and silt clutter this approach channel.

2. Outlet Works

An 18-inch diameter cast iron pipe was observed exiting at the downstream side of the embankment at the channel pool. This low level outlet pipe was three-quarters full of sediment. The surface of the stilling basin was covered with sediment. No low level outlet control was found on the upstream side of embankment as shown on the embankment plans or in the vicinity of the embankment.

d. Reservoir Area

Earth slopes and rock outcropping encompass the reservoir. The earth slopes are moderate and stable while the rock slopes are steep and firm. There are no structures situated on the reservoir rim.

e. Downstream Channel

The bottom of the downstream channel is grouted riprap and is in good condition. There are some cracks in the grout. Some vegetation, fallen trees and debris are in the channel. The channel flow runs into a pool that is located approximately 230 feet from the spillway. From the pool, the flow continues through a 6-foot diameter reinforced concrete pipe under Awosting Road, which is located approximately 1,000 feet from the spillway. The discharge then passes under Greenwood Lake Turnpike, which is located approximately 250 feet downstream from Awosting Road.

SECTION 4

4. OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

Green Turtle Pond Dam is used to impound water for recreational activities. The level of the lake is maintained through the unregulated flow over the spillway and the lake is not lowered on a regular basis.

4.2 Maintenance of the Dam

There is no regular inspection and maintenance program for the dam and appurtenant structures. The State of New Jersey Green Acres, Department of Conservation is responsible for the maintenance of the dam.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The low-level operating facilities consist of the one manually operated 18 inch gate valve. At the time of inspection, operation of the valve was not demonstrated because the gate valve could not be found and the owner's representative was not present.

4.4 Evaluation

The present operational and maintenance procedures are fair with the dam and spillway being maintained in a serviceable condition.

SECTION 5

HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 <u>Evaluation of Features</u>

a. Design

The drainage area above Green Turtle Pond Dam is approximately 0.50 square miles. A drainage map of the water shed of the dam site is presented on Plate 1, Appendix D.

The topography within the basin is flat. Elevations range from approximately 840 feet above NGVD at the North end of the watershed to about 580 feet at the dam site. Land use patterns within the watershed are mostly woodland with concentrated residential development around the lake area.

The evaluation of the hydraulic and hydrologic features of the dam and lake was based on criteria set forth in the Corps Guidelines and additional guidance provided by the Philadelphia District, Corps of Engineers. The Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for the dam is equal to the 1/2 PMF.

The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was calculated from the probable maximum precipitation using Hydrometeorological Report No. 33 with standard reduction factors. Due to the small drainage area, the SCS triangular hydrograph transformed to a curvilinear hydrograph was adopted for developing the unit hydrograph, with the aid of the HEC-1-DM Flood Hydrograph Computer Program.

Initial and infiltration loss rates, were applied to the Probable Maximum Precipitation to obtain rainfall excesses. The rainfall excesses were applied to the unit hydrograph to obtain the PMF and various ratios of PMF utilizing program HEC-1-DR.

The SDF outflow calculated for the dates 5 679 s. This value is derived from half PMF, assuming that the lake was originally at the spillway crest elevation. The half PMF was routed through the dam and it was found the dam would not overtop. There would be approximately half foot of freeboard remaining. Based on the routing, it can be concluded that Green Turtle Pond Dam is adequate.

The stage-outflow relation for the spillway was determined from the geometry of the spillway and dam, utilizing HEC-1-DB program.

The reservoir stage-storage capacity relationship was computed directly by the conic method, utilizing the HEC-1-DB program. The reservoir surface areas at various elevations were measured by planimeter from USGS Quadrangle topographic map. Reservoir storage capacity included surcharge levels exceeding the top of the dam

and the spillway rating curve was based on the assumption that the dam remains intact during routing.

Breach analysis is not required since the spillway capacity is adequate.

Drawdown calculations indicate that to empty the lake to an elevation of 552 NGVD through the one low-level sluice would take 4 days, excluding inflow. This is considered to be an excessive drawdown period, and provision of additional outlets should be considered.

b. Experience Data

No records of reservoir stage or spillway discharge are maintained for this site.

c. Visual Observation

The downstream channel is well defined. Grouted riprap is on the bottom. The slopes of the channel are shallow to moderate. There are three houses on the left bank of the downstream channel, beyond Greenwood Lake Turnpike, located approximately 1,300 feet from the spillway.

Earth slopes and rock outcropping encircle the reservoir. The earth slopes are moderate and stable while the rock slopes are steep and firm. The drainage area is wooded and moderately flat sloped.

d. Overtopping Potential

As indicated in Section 5.1.a, the spillway capacity of Green Turtle Pond Dam is considered adequate to pass the SDF with no overtopping potential.

SECTION 6

6. STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observations

There are no major signs of distress in the embankment of the Green Turtle Pond Dam. Trees growing on both embankment sides could pose a threat to stability. A few small cavities created by uprooted trees were observed. Seepage was occurring at two locations. One seepage location was 5 feet right of the low level outlet and the other was about 100 feet downstream of the spillway, 5 feet left of the channel. The seepage has not been monitored and no information was uncovered concerning their duration or flow rates. No evidence of burrowing animals was observed; however, the embankment was covered with leaves and therefore the possibility does exist that there may be burrow holes in the embankment. The spillway is in good condition but does show minor spalling, and cracking.

b. Design and Construction Data

No design computations relating to stability were uncovered during the report preparation phase. No embankment or foundation soil parameters are available for carrying out a conventional stability analysis on the embankment. No construction data or specifications relating to the degree of embankment compaction are available for use in the stability analysis.

c. Operating Records

No operating records are available relating to the stability of the dam. The dam and spillway have served satisfactorily since its construction in 1958.

d. Post-Construction Changes

None on record.

e. Static Stability

A static stability analysis was not performed for Green Turtle Pond Dam because the lack of data on which to base assumptions of material properties and embankment cross-sections might produce misleading results, but based on the findings of the visual inspection, the preliminary assessment of static stability is that it is satisfactory.

f. Seismic Stability

Green Turtle Pond Dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, as defined in Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, prepared by the Corps of Engineers. In general, projects located in Seismic Zones 0, 1 and 2 may be assumed to present no hazard from earthquake, provided the static stability condition are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist. Since static stability safety factors have not been confirmed, it cannot be stated that seismic stability is satisfactory.

SECTION 7

7. ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Safety

The dam has been inspected visually and a review has been made of the available engineering data. This assessment is subject to the limitations inherent in the visual inspection procedures stipulated by the Corps of Engineers for a Phase I report.

The adequacy of Green Turtle Pond Dam is not in question because the dam does have adequate spillway capacity to pass half of the PMF, which is the SDF for the dam, without overtopping.

No definitive statement pertaining to the safety of the embankment can be made without acquisition of embankment material engineering properties, but based on the findings of the visual inspection, the preliminary assessment of static stability is that it is satisfactory.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information uncovered was adequate to perform hydrologic and hydraulic computations. The data was insufficient to perform even an approximate computation of the stability of the dam. A preliminary assessment of the dam could be made by visual observation only.

c. Urgency

Observation wells or piezometers should be installed in the embankment to determine the location of the phreatic surface and the paths of the seepage observed. This should be done within twelve months.

The flow of seepage should be monitored monthly to determine its volume and whether it presents a problem to the safety of the dam.

The existing dam plans and drawings should be annotated and updated to form a coherent as-built set within twelve months.

7.2 Remedial Measures

a. Alternatives for Increasing Spillway Capacity

 Alternatives for increasing spillway capacity are not necessary as it is adequate to handle the SDF.

b. Recommendations

- Repair all cracked and spalled concrete within twelve months.
- 2. All brush and trees should be removed from the downstream and upstream slopes to avoid problems which may develop from roots. The embankment face should then be seeded to develop a growth of grass for surface erosion protection. This program should be started within twelve months.
- 3. Remove all vegetation and debris from the approach and discharge channels within twelve months.
- 4. Remove sediment from low level outlet pipe and outlet stilling basin within twelve months.
- 5. Determine exact location of controls for low level outlet and upgrade it so that the valve can be operated from the embankment crest, within twelve months. The controls should be operated at least once every six months.
- 6. Investigate embankment for animal burrows and fill in any burrow holes with impervious material.


The following additional actions are recommended:

- The owner should develop an emergency action plan (if one is not already available) outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency and establish a flood warning system for the downstream communities within three months.
- 2. Consider providing additional low level outlet facilities to decrease the drawdown time.

c. 0 & M Procedures


The owner should develop, within one (1) year after formal approval of the report, written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to insure the safety of the dam.


PLATES



KEY MAP

PLATE I








LEGEND:


PRE-CAMBRIAN
gh Hornblende Granite & Gneiss

GEOLOGIC MAP
GREEN TURTLE POND DAM











SECTION 2 + 50

PROFILE AWOSTING DAM WEST MIL FORD TWO ENGINEERS & LAND SURVEYORS APPLICATION No.



. Rep, breaked to a secont similar.




Top of Esp. Esp. Evential to a smooth wirland

SPILLWAY CHANNEL PROFILE

ENCETING LAKE

SMEEN TURTLE BOOK DAW!



APPENDIX A

CHECK LIST - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

CHECK LIST - ENGINFERING, CONSTRUCTION

MAINTENANCE DATA

CHECK LIST VISUAL INSPECTION

PHASE 1

Coordinators NJ-DEP Tailwater at Time of Inspection 546.0 NGVD 340F State New Jersey **Temperature** County Passaic Clear Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection 576.3 NGVD Date(s) Inspection November 16, 1979 Weather Name Dam GREEN TURTLE POND DAM

Inspection Personnel:

Chuck Chin Henry King (Recorder) Thomas Lakovich Owner/Representative - None attended

1

VICIIAL EVANTUATION OF	CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS	DEMADYS AND DECOMMENDATIONS
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	UDSERVALIUNS	REMARKS AND RECOMPENDALIONS
SEEPAGE OR LEAKAGE N/A		
STRUCTURE TO ABUTMENT/EMBANKMENT JUNCTIONS N/A	•	
DRAINS N/A		
WATER PASSAGES N/A		
FOUNDATIONS N/A		

	CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS	
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS CONCRETE SURFACES N/A		
STRUCTURAL CRACKING N/A		
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT N/A		
MONOLITH JOINTS N/A		
CONSTRUCTION JOINTS N/A		

	EMBANKMENT	
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS None noticed.		
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE	EYOND THE TOE	
SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT AND None.	ND ABUTMENT SLOPES	·
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST Good.	THE CREST	
RIPRAP FAILURES None.		4

EMBANKMFNT

EMBANKMENT		
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS A	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
EARTH EMBANKMENT Numerous trees, small to large size, growing on both sides of earth embankment. A few trees were uprooted leaving cavities on the downstream slope.		Remove trees and fill cavities.
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM GOOD.		
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE Minor seepage was visible at two locations: l. Approximately 100 feet from the spillway, 5 feet left of the channel. 2. Five feet right of the low level outlet drain.	Clear all vege these two area seepage for cl flow quantity.	Clear all vegetation from these two areas and monitor seepage for clearness and flow quantity.
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER None.		
DRAINS None.		

OUTLET WORKS	
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF DESCRIPTIONS	REMARKS AND RECOFFENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN STILLING BASIN Could not see stilling basin because of sediment.	Clean out stilling basin.
INTAKE STRUCTURE Low level outlet drain under water in lake. Not visible.	
OUTLET STRUCTURE An 18-inch cast iron pipe was observed exiting on the downstream side of the embankment at the channel pool. Pool was filled with sediment to 3/4 level of pipe. No low level outlet gate was found on the embankment.	Clean out pool and pipe. Locate low level outlet gate and check to see if operable.
OUTLET FACILITIES None.	
EMERGENCY GATE None.	

UNGATED SPILLWAY	
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE WEIR The concrete spillway appears in good condition.	
APPROACH CHANNEL A long concrete apron, in good condition, serves as approach channel. Trees, vegetation and silt clutter this approach.	Clean out.
DISCHARGE CHANNEL Discharge flows over silted concrete apron and then into a grouted riprap discharge channel. The grout is cracked in some areas.	Repair cracks.
BRIDGE AND PIERS None.	
•	7

WAY
Ξ
Δ.
S
핃
B
9

	GATED SPILLWAY	TOTAL STREET, COURT OF STREET,
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE SILL N/A		
APPROACH CHANNEL		·
DISCHARGE CHANNEL N/A		
BRIDGE AND PIERS N/A		
GATES AND OPERATION EQUIPMENT N/A		

INSTRUMENTATION

	INSTRUMENTATION	
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
MONUMENTATIÓN/SURVEYS None.	·	
		·
OBSERVATION WELLS None.		
WEIRS None.		
PIEZOMETERS None.		
OTHER None.		

1	1		1	1	1	10 I
	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		·			
RESERVOIR	OBSERVA I LONS	the reservoir. Earth slopes are eep and firm.				
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	SLOPES Earth slopes and rock outcropping encompass the reservoir. moderate and stable while rock slopes are steep and firm.	SEDIMENTATION None noticed.			

1	1	1	1	1	11
REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	Repair cracks in grout. Remove fallen trees, vegetation and debris.				
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS	CONDITION (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.) The bottom of the downstream channel is grouted riprap. It in good condition. The grout has some cracks in it. There are some fallen trees, vegetation and debris in the channel. The channel flow runs into a pool that is located approximately 230 feet from the spillway.	Shallow to moderate. In good condition.	APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOMES AND POPULATION There are three homes on the left bank, beyond Greenwood Lake Turnpike, located approximately 1,300 feet from the spillway.		

CHECK LIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

ITEM	REMARKS
PLAN OF DAM	Available on microfilm at N.J. Department of Environmental Protection, (NJ-DEP), 1474 Prospect Street, P. O. Box CN-029, Trenton, NJ 08625.
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	Available-Passaic County Map and USGS Quadrangle Sheet for Greenwood Lake, New York-New Jersey.
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	No formal history exists, but can be deduced from available micro- film at NJ-DEP.
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	Available on microfilm at NJ-DEP.
HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC DATA	Limited Hydrologic data and hydraulic data available on microfilm at NJ-DEP.
OUTLETS - PLAN	Available on microfilm (NJ-DEP)
- DETAILS	Available on microfilm (NJ-DEP)
- CONSTRAINTS	None.
- DISCHARGE RATINGS	Not available.
RAINFALL / RESERVOIR RECORDS	Not available.

CHECK LIST
ENGINEERING DATA
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION
(continued)

ITEM	REMARKS
DESIGN REPORTS	None available.
GEOLOGY REPORTS	Available USGS Geologic overlay sheet for Passaic County and Engineering Soil Survey of New Jersey. Report No. 3 Passaic County, by Rutgers University. (New Brunswick, N.J.)
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	Limited hydrological and hydraulic data.
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS) BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FIELD	Grain size, unit weight and permeability tests of core material are available on microfilm. It is not clear if tested material was used at dam site.
POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	None.
BORROW SOURCES	Unknown.
SPILLWAY PLAN - SECTIONS)) - DETAILS)	Available on microfilm at NJ-DEP.

CHECK LIST
ENGINEERING DATA
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION
(continued)

APPENDIX B

PHOTOGRAPHS

(Taken on November 16, 1979)



Photo 1 - View of earth embankment looking toward earth spillway and Awosting Road. Reservoir is out of photo to viewer's right. Note numerous trees on slopes of embankment.




Photo 2 - Detail showing spalling in right abutment of spillway.



Photo 3 - View of left abutment and earth embankment.

Portion of reservoir is at left. Note trees
on slopes of embankment.



Photo 4 - Detail showing vertical crack, located at spillway, in left abutment.



Photo 5 - View of reservoir from earth embankment.
Spillway is out of photo on viewer's left.



Photo 6 - View of the approach channel to the spillway. Portion of earth embankment is at left.



Photo 7 - View of downstream channel looking toward spillway.



Photo 8 - View of spillway looking toward left abutment.



Photo 9 - View of downstream channel from spillway. Note vegetation and fallen trees.



Photo 10 - View of low-level outlet drain (18-inch cast iron pipe) at lower right showing sedimentation in drain.

APPENDIX C


SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA

CHECK LIST HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA ENGINEERING DATA

Name of Dam: GREEN TURILE PUN	U DAM	
Drainage Area Characteristics: _	0.5 square miles	
Elevation Top Normal Pool (Stora	ge Capacity): 576 NGVD(251 acre-feet)	
Elevation Top Flood Control Pool	(Storage Capacity): N/A	
Elevation Maximum Design Pool: _	579.76 NGVD(SDF pool: 377 acre-feet)	
Elevation Top Dam:	580 NGVD(386 acre-feet)	
SPILLWAY CREST: a. Elevation	576 NGVD	
	Broad crest weir with concrete notch	
	1 foot	
	30 feet	
e. Location Spillover	Entire length of spillway	
	None	
OUTLET WORKS:		
a. Type18-inch C.I.P.		
b. Location 400 feet left of		
c. Entrance Inverts	548 NGVD (estimated)	
d. Exit Inverts		
	ities Gate valve 18-inch dia. C.I.P.	
HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES:		
a. TypeNone		
b. Location None		
c. Records None		
MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: 744 cfs at elevation 580 NGVD		

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS



Scale: I"= 2,000 FT.

GREEN TURTLE POND DAM DRAINAGE BASIN

Pinase 1 Group XVII SUBJECT N.J. DAM SAFETY INSPECTION GREEN TURTLE POND DAM. COMPUTED BY S. L. S. CHECKED BY

GREEN TURTLE POND DAM

SHEET NO. _____ OF. ____ JOB NO. 10-A83-01 DATE /- 7-80

SIZE CLASSIFICATION

38.57 Acres Surface area of Main impoundment 19.52 ft Average depth of Lake 35 A Structural Height of Dam Small Size Classification

HAZARD POTENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Heavily Travelled Roadway and Houses at D/s of Dam

Hazard Potential

High

Recommended SDF

1/2 PMF

HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS

Flooding Routing Will be computed by HEC-I DB using SCS Triangular Unit Hydrograph with Curvilinear Transformation D.A. = 0.50 SQ. mi.

PRECIPITATION

From Fig. 15 (Ref.: " DESIGN OF SMALL DAM"), the Drainage Area located at the boundary between Ione 1 & Zone 6. Probable Max. Precipitation = 25" for 6 Hes. duration \$ 10 mi.

	PRC Harris, Inc.	GREEN TUE	AM SAFETY IN RILE POND D C. CHECKED BY	AMMA	1 No. 2 2 6 10 10-A33-01 1-7-80
€.	Duration		of PMF		
		Zone I	Zone 6	AVG Value	•
	6	99	100	99.5	Values are
	12	///	109	110	reduced by 20%
•	24	119	//7	118	to account For
	48	127	126		Misalignment
					basin & Storm
					sohy Take
	INFILTRATION	DATA			
	Drainage Area	consists of	MMG only	· •	
	Hydrologic S	*		••	1/0
	Use initial i				0.7 nd
	Use Constant				0.07 in/hr.
	Paf. Engineers by Rutg		ver of N.J.	Report 3,	Passaic County
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	سندو و المستوالية المس		: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		

	PRC Harris, Inc. Subject N.J. DAM SAFETY INSPECTION GREEN TURILE POND DAM, COMPUTED BY C.L.C. CHECKED BY 12 CONTROL OF SHEET NO. 3 OF SE DATE 1-7-80
<u></u>	TIME OF CONCENTRATION
	1) To Estimated From Velocity & Water Course length
	Slape % Velocity (fps) uppermatershed Overland Flow 820-680 = 6.6% 2.0 Wooded Land
	Overland Flow 820-680 = 6.6% 2.0 Wooded Land
	$t_c = \left(\frac{2200}{2.00}\right)/3400 = 0.31 \text{ hr}$
	2) From Nomograph "Design of Small Dam" (p.71)
	DL = 820-680 = 140'
	$L = 2700$ $S = \frac{140}{2200} = 6.4 \frac{9}{0}$
	te = 0.13 He.
	3) Using FAA Formula For Surface Flow (Airport Drainage
	$T_{c} = \frac{1.8(1.1-c)\sqrt{D}}{\sqrt[3]{5}} = \frac{1.8(.8)\sqrt{2200}}{\sqrt[3]{64}} = 0.61 \text{ Hz}.$
	₹5 ₹64 (60)
	Use Te = 0.35 HRS.
	LAG = 0.6 × 0.35 = 0.21 HRS.

SUBJECT NJ. DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

GREEN TURILE POND DAM

COMPUTED BY S.L.C. CHECKED BY D.K.

SHEET NO. 4 OF 6

JOB NO. 10- AB3- 01

DATE 1- 8-80

ELEVATION - AREA - CAPACITY RELATIONSHIP


Information Obtained From US.G.S. Map

Ele. 550 * 580 600 620 640
Surface Area (Ac) 0 38.6 58.8 90.0 100.40

* Estimated bottom elevation of Late at Spillway

HEC-1 DB PROGRAM will develope storage capacity from

surface area and elevation.



EL. 576.0 \(\square \)

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

SUBJECT Dam Safeti 1837


Green Turtle Pond Dam

COMPUTED BY Re CHECKED BY C. 6. 6.


SHEET NO. 5 OF 6

JOB NO 10 -4 83-01

DATE 1/8/80



PLAN



SECTION A-A

AVR. (, = 31 Acome Broad Crest Wein with Breadth = 1'

14. table 5-3 King & Brater

Ave. C2 = 2.75 losses due to roughners on the
top of Dam

Not. HEC-2 User Manual

SUBJECT NJ Dan Sole 21 1952)
Green Tirtle Pond
COMPUTED BY EX CHECKED BY G. C.

SHEET NO. 6 OF 6

JOB NO. 10-483-01

Drawdown Time Compution

516

Normal Elevation to Start draining C 576

D.A = 0.5 5 m?

Inflow = 2 cfs/4 m: = 1.0 cfs

Use Fg. B-B for cap discharge

Res. Ele	Area	ALC ONE	Yol A-C	AW h C Reselom	Q 1 owtet = 6.93/h	time of Drawlown Vol x24 1.970	(ul time hr	tinch drawdown 2.0 dn	Cul time to hrs	
576	29.0	0.0			٠					
£70	17.1	23.0	38.3.	573 137	40.0	41.9	41.9	1.1	43.0	
565	a ,	13,35	<i>4.75</i>	567.5 10.0	34.0	23.8	65.7	0,7	67.5	
	9.6	6.95	34.75	562.56.7	28.0	15.0	80.7	0.5	83.0	***
560	4.3	2.68	13,4	557.534	17.0	1.6	90.3	0.6	93.2	
525	1.05	6.65		1337 687			94.4	98	98.	
552.4	0,25	<i>(</i>				(*)	77.7	~{ v	1*'	
- 1			•	-				•		

- A) Time of Complete drawdown with No inflow = 94.9 hrs & 4 days
- B) Time of complete drawdown with in flow = 98. 1 hrs: 4 days

医生物性性性性性

					20					
	NSTAN	:	*****	•	ISTAGE IAUTO	LOCAL	•	ALSHX RTIMP 0.00 0.00	į	
UP XVII 10AB301 UNTY, NJ DBRIDGE, N J	IPLT IPRT		****	·	PRT INAME	ISNOW ISA	R72 R96 0.00 0.00	STRTL CNSTL AL		RTIUR= 2.00
N J DAM SAFETY INSPECTION PROGRAMGROUP XVII N J 00190 GREEN TUTRLE POND, PASSAIC COUNTY, NJ MULT RATIO ROUTING, PRC-HARRIS INC., WOODBRIDGE,	FICATION ININ METRC O 0 0 LROP1 TRACE 0 0	MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED NPLAN# 1 NRTIO# 5 LRTIO# 1 .40 30 20 10	į.	COMPUTATION	NFLOW HYDROGRAPH THROUNG GREEN TUTRLE POND ISTAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JI		DATA R4B R24 R4B 118.00 0.00	RTIOK STI 1.00	RAPH DATA	S C
ETY INSPECTION REEN TUTRLE POI ROUTING, PRC-HA	JOB SPECIF IHR O NWT L	-PLAN ANALYSES T NPLAN* 1 NRTIO* 30 . 20	***	SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION	RAPH THROUNG G P IECON IT	<u> </u>	PRECIP DATA R6 R12 R2 99.50 110.00 118.0	LDSS DATA ERAIN STRKS 0,00 0.00	UNIT HYDRUGRAPH DATA = 0.00 LAG= .21	RECESSION DATA
N J DAM SAF N J 00190 G MULT RATIO	NIKN 9	HULTI 50 40	****	55	INFLOW HYDROGRA	TAREA 50	PHS 25.00	DLTKR RTIUL 0.00 1.00	, ,	STRT0=
•	NO NHR 300	RT105=	****			IHYDG IUHG	SPFE 0.00	LROPT STRKR I		
			***		· .		:	3		

有是有任何任何任何 经保存货价值的债务

!	• • •				!			 f	_								!	* %			!					;									1					
;	G	41.	965.	1052.	26.		4019.	41	37.	2387	1376.	45.	1019.	17.	95.	882.	76.	.091	10.	722.	04.	94.	. 689	685.	684.	.53		197.	184.	60.	50.	000	21.	113				80.	75.	91.0
	COMP	5	5	2	12	0 :	, , ,	:											!					t 1				-		1	-		; 		1		í	! !		:
1.00	LOSS	.01	0.	2	•	•	50	•	•	1	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•			1	•	٠.	5.5	į	•	٠	i .	٠	1	•			5	5 6
70° 25°	EXC9	30	. 37	. A.	9	3 :	75.	9	٠ •	22	. 27	. 27	12.	2	. 27	.27	. 27	. 21	.21	. 21	. 21	22.5	. 2	. 21	. 21	.01	5 8		<u>5</u> 5	0.	6	55	010	10	ē :	5 5	.0	.01	5.5	3 3
	RAIN	30	. 38	£.		9 5	٠.	9.		9 B	. 28	. 28	28	28	. 28	. 28	28	. 22	. 52	. 22	. 22	.22	27.	. 22	. 22	.02	20.		.00	02	. 02	9.0	.02	. 02	8 6	3.6	. 02	. 02	.02	02
S, LAG-	PERIOD	151	152	153	154		157	158	40 T	160	162	163	164	166	167	168	170	171	172	174	175	176	178	179	180	181	781	184	185	187	188	189	191	192	193	194	196	197	198	200
. 00 HOURS 87.	HR. MN		15.12		15.24		15. 42	15.48	10.04	16.00 16.06	16.12	16.18	16.24	16.36	16.42	16.48	17.00	17.06	17. 12	17.24	17.30	17.36	17.42	17.54	18.00	18.06	71.81	18.24	18.30	18.42	18.48	19.54	19.06	19.12		19.30	19.36		19.48	20.00
TC= 0 162.	FLOW MO. DA	1.01	1.01	10.1	2.0		1.01	1.01	10.	1.0	1.01	1.01	10.1	10.1	1.01	1.01	10.1	1.01	- - - -	1.01	1.01	1.01	5 5	1.01	1.01	10.0	7 .	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1 0	1.01	1.01	5 .	3 5	1,01	1.01	1.03	1.01
ORDINATES, 296.	END-OF-PERIOD COMP Q	ó	Ö	ġ,	o e		òò	6.6			ö	Ö	6	; o	0	٥٥	, o	0	o c		0	o 6		ó		0	s c	òò	óo	, ,	ö	00	· •	•	o	5 6	Ö	ö	o o	
OF PERIOD 590.	ال ال	. 01	6	6	5	: 3	50.	53	5 8	55	.01	. 01	10:	. 5	. 01	<u></u>	3 6	.0	5.5	5 5	. 01	.0	5.5	5.5	. 01	5.5	3 8	50	5.6	.01	5	0.0	. 01	5	5 5	5 5	.0	. 01	5.5	50
12 END (891.	EXCS	0.00					88	000		90			00.0				80	•		88		•							000	٠.			• .	•						
HYTIROGRAPH 1 833. 8 4.	RAIN	.0	0	5	5		50.	5.0	3 3	5.5	.01	. 01	10	. 6	. 0	5.5	5 6	70	5.5	5 5	.0	5	5 5	5 6	. 01	5	5 8	55	56	6	.0	55	0	70	5	5 6	5	.01	5	50
UNIT HYFRO 833. 4.	PERIOD	-	N 1	M 4	e 67	• ∢	~	60 0	•	22	12	13		16	17	80	\$ 2	12	22	2 2	25	5	78	23	30	E	75	n T	33	37	38	4 A	7	42	P 4	10	4	42	87	2 2
271. 8.	H.	80.	. 12	87	. 24	. 7	42	84.			1.12	1.18	1.24	1.36	1.42	4.6		2.06		2.24		m, s		2.54			•	3.24	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	٠.	₹	m 4	. 0	4.12	4. 18 2. 4	30	4, 36	4.42	4.48	, 00 , 00
•	O HO. DA	1.01	10.1	1.01	1.01	10:1	1.01	1.01	10.7	1.01	10.1	1.01	10:1	1.01	1.01	10.1	10.1	1.01	10.1	10.1	10.1	1.01	5.5	1.01	1.01	1.01	10:1	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	10.1	10.1		1.01	1.01	1.0	10.1
	s	: 1	.* • .	ر.		.C.	r, r	.!		<u>ال</u> •				j	:		ا.	Ċ	: 	•			•		• • •	. Tş.)			÷.	,		•	•	,						:

51. 52. 54. 56. 56. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57	: 26 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
200000000000	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
201 203 203 204 205 205 208 208	2112 2212 2212 222 222 222 223 233 233 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
20.06 20.12 20.13 20.24 20.36 20.36 20.54 20.54	22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
10.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1		95 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
,00000000	2 4 4 8 4 5 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
2002222222	2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2002222222222222222222222222
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
222222222	0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	\$ 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	25 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	885 887 877 877 877 878 878 878 878 878
		10 0 42 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

K	ė ·	•	ָ נ	ų į	i «	-	4	₹	'n	ю.	m	'n	mi :	ci e	įį	in		į	i ni	7	<u>.</u>	-	-	,	- ;	ન ,	.	-i -		-i - -	; -	: -			0	Ö	71595	027.06)						
0.0	>	000	3 6	000	00	0.0	00.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	000	3 6		2 6	00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	000	3		8 6		00.0	0.00	00 0	0.00	9	49.00						
00.00	3 (000	> 6 > 6	88	00	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.0	300	3 5		200	00	0.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	00.0	0.00	0 0		000		000	00.0	00.0	00 0	00.0	57 16	599.1(550.1(
000		9 9	2 6	800	00 0	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	00.0	00.0	9 6	3 6	30	000	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	000	0 .	000				00	00.00	00.0	00.00	00.0	07 16	(599.) (JME	. 20	. 20	92		30.
261		265	7	266	267	268	569	270	271	272	273	274	0/2	277	270	270	280	281	282	283	284	282	286	287	288	289	200	292	i M 0 0	294	100 100	296	297	298	299	300	X.	; ;	TOTAL VOLUME	7200	S CO	563, 92	ព័ណ	•
2.06		9 7 C		3,36	4.5	2.48	2.54	3.00	3.06	3.12	3.18	3.24	100	 	4.7) M	4 00	4.06	4.12	4.18	4.24	4.30	4.36	4. 4.	4	4.54	00.0	0 to		5.24	9	36	5.42	5.48	5,54	9.00					20	25	્યં ક	
1,02		200		1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	000	0.70	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	00.1	1.02	1 00	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02			72-HOUR	3	22.	563.	ر د	?
o. o.		. 0	;		Ö	ď	Ö	~	ı.		œ.		o o		: -				mi	·	Ç.	<u>.</u>	ď,				٠.	. · ·		. Ni			-	٠.	٠.	·			24-HOUR	. AG.	22.20	563.87	592.	750.
906	ò	K 0	ō	6	٥	ŏ.	ō	8	Ħ	27	4	27.	ָה ה	909	3 3	619	61.	62	99	669	72	Ř.	¥ ;	4	4	<u> </u>		\$. 78	06	0	5	63	93	939	63			6-HOUR	200	19, 23	488.53	513.	632.
29	3	50	6	0.	0.	. 01	6.	0.	5	.01	.01	5	3 3	70	Ö	10	0.	.01	.01	0.	6	.01	. 0	5	5	5 6	7 6	50	5	10	6	0	. 01	. 01	0.	. 01			' 0	•	_	4		
0.5 0.5	20	80	2.0	03	.03	. 03	. 03	03	. 19	. 19	. 19	61.	, O	16	0	19	19	. 23	. 23	. 23	133	23	23	. 23 . 3	9 ! N !	57.	5.5	58	0	.53	56	29	. 29	. 29	. 29	. 29			PEAK					
÷ 8	•0	\$	•	0	0	•	0	0.	50	20	. 50	2,50	, 6	200	50	50	. 20	. 24	.24	. 24	. 24	N.	2.	. 74 	47.	7.6	, e	3	•	, e	30	30	. 30	. 30	30	0£			0) () ()	NCHES	£	7 1.3 21211	23
= =	- 1 - 1	-	5	116	117	118	119	150	121	125	123	124	124	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	7 7 7	2 .	141	143	149	1.45	- 146	147	148	149	120					1		2112112	23.81
	•	•	2 11	٧٠		# T	24	00 7	12.06	15 15	12 18	12.24	72.01	12.	12, 48	12.54	13.00	13,06	13, 12	13.18	13.24	13.30	13.30	13.42	13.48	13.04	2	14.12	14 18	14,24	14, 30	14.36	14, 42	14.48	14.54	15.00								

and the same of th	IAUTO											
	ISTAGE	;	ISPRAT				EXPL 0.0					i
		1										
	DPRT 0	AMAI :						DAMWID 275.				
	JPLT 0	TOPT						DATA EXPD 1.5	٠			,
H DAM	ITAPE	ISAME	AMSKK 0.000	100	4733	940		DAM COOD 2.8				
E THROUG	IECON	RDU IRES	LA6 0	.06	2830.	620.		TOPEL 580.0				
DISCHARG	ICOMP 1	AVG 0, 00	NSTBL	59.	1353.	.009			HOURS	HOURS	HOURS	HOUKS
ROUTING	ISTAG	CL.085	NSTPS 1			ė	9,		16.20	16.20	16.30	TIME 16.30 HOURS
-,		0.0		ю	38	Š	CR 576	,				
		}		Ö	ö	550.		· ·	679.	525.	375.	231. AT
		• • •		SURFACE AREA=	CAPACITY=	ELEVATION=			PEAK OUTFLOW IS	PEAK OUTFLOW IS	FEAK OUTFLOW IS	PEAK OUTFLOW 18
	1	E JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO	ROUTING DISCHARGE THROUGH DAM ISTAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO DAM 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ISTAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO O 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	STAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO D	STAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO D	STAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO DAN 1	STAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO O O O O O O O O O	STATE STAT	ISTAG ICOHP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO O	ISTAG TCOMP TAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO IAM ISTAGE IAUTO IAM IAM	STAGE LOHP LECON TAPE JPLT JPRT INAHE 19TAGE IAUTO DAH L9TR IAUTO DAH L9TR L9

98. AT TIME 16.50 HOURS

FEAK OUTFLOW IS

						KATIOS APP	RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS	SMC			
OPERATION .	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIO 1	RATIO 2	RATIO 3	RATIO 4	RATIO 5		!	:
HYDROGRAFH AT	LAKE	1.29)	-~	2022.	1617. 45.80)(1213. 34.35)(809. 22.90)(404. 11. 45)(:	
ROUTED TO	DAM ,	1.29)	-	679. 19. 22) (525. 14.87)(. 375. 10.62)(231. 6.54)(98. 2.78)(:	
		•			SUMMARY OF		DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS	ī			
PLAN 1		•	ELEVATION Storage Outflow		INITIAL VALUE 576.00 251.	SPILLW	SPILLWAY CREST 576.00 '251. 0.	TDP DF DAN 580.00 386. 744.			
	RA]	RATIO I	NAXINUN RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV	HAXINUM DEPTH DVER DAM	M MAXIMUM STORAGE M AC-FT	UM MAXIMUM BE OUTFLOW T CFS		N 9	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILUKE HOURS	
	• • •	50	579.76	0.00			679. 0.	0.00	16.20	00.0	
		202		888	332.				16.30 16.30	888	
**************************************	****	10 ******* 5E (HEC-1) JULY 1978	577.0 4	0.00					20	00.0	

